Interim Staff Report Quality of Health Care Services in Virginia Jails and Prisons, and Impact of Requiring Community Services Boards to Provide Mental Health Services in Jails

Joint Commission on Health Care September 19, 2017 Meeting

Stephen Weiss Senior Health Policy Analyst

Study Background

- This is an interim report of a two year study concerning health care services provided in jails and prisons based on resolutions that did not pass out of House Rules committee but were approved by the JCHC members at the May 23, 2017 Work Plan Meeting.
 - 1. HJR 616 by Delegate O'Bannon: A study of the quality of health care services in jails and prisons including:

A review of:

- Quality and oversight of the delivery of health care services
- The process for the development and implementation of performance measures
- Enforcement of contracts

Development of recommendations for improving the quality of health care services

- 2. HJR 779 by Delegate Holcomb: A study of jails to determine:
 - Whether to require Community Services Boards to provide mental health services in jails
 - The impact of requiring Community Services Boards to provide mental health services in jails, including the costs and benefits

Interim Report

Preliminary Meetings

- Department of Corrections (DOC)
- Department of Criminal Justice (DOCJ)
- Compensation Board
- Virginia Sheriffs' Association
- Virginia Regional Association of Jails
- Virginia Division of Risk Management (DRM)
- Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services (DBHDS)
- Chief Medical Examiners Office

Tours

- Virginia Beach Jail
- Hampton Roads Regional Jail
- Arlington Community Services Board (CSB) Crisis Intervention Center
- Fairfax Falls Church CSB's Merrifield Center – Multipurpose Crisis Intervention Center

- ➤ Joint Subcommittee to Study Mental Health Services in the Commonwealth in the 21st Century
 - June 20, 2017 Criminal Justice Workgroup meeting
 - Ms. Leslie Weisman from the Arlington Crisis Intervention Center and a member of the Criminal Justice Diversion Expert Advisory Panel discussed the work of the Advisory Panel. This organization did a jail survey, visited five jails and is in the process of reviewing material related to mental health issues in the jails
 - Robyn de Socio, the Executive Secretary from the Compensation Board discussed a
 budget amendment from this past session that requires the Commissioner of
 DBHDS to designate a validated mental health screening instrument that can be
 administered by the jails. Prior to this amendment the use of varying and unvalidated screening tools among different jails has led to questions of completeness
 in identifying the behavioral health status of those in custody

- June 20, 2017 Criminal Justice Workgroup meeting (continued)
 - Sheriff Gabriel Morgan of Newport News discussed his jail diversion program and his work with the CSB and others in the community
 - Dr. Michael Schaefer from DBHDS discussed the work the Department is doing to develop a comprehensive plan for the provision of forensic discharge planning services at local and regional correctional facilities required by budget amendments included in HB 1784 and SB 941. The plan is required to be completed by November 1, 2017, and reported to the Chairmen of the Joint Subcommittee to Study Mental Health Services in the Commonwealth in the 21st Century, the House Committee for Courts of Justice, and the Senate Committee for Courts of Justice. The budget amendment requires a coordinated effort at the local level with standards, capturing data and follow up on the people being discharged
- > HB 2183 requires the Department of Medical Assistance Services (DMAS) to create a work group to study how best to link people in custody with appropriate benefits upon discharge
- The Virginia Association of Regional Jails, along with the Virginia Sheriffs' Association, requested assistance in reviewing the delivery of health care in jails from the L. Douglas Wilder School of Government & Public Affairs at Virginia Commonwealth University

- ➤ The Department of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) collaborated with the Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services (DBHDS) and the Compensation Board to provide funding for mental health pilot projects that will establish evidence-based behavioral health services in six local and regional jails
 - The pilot projects will use evidence-based programs and services to implement mental health screening and assessment; collaborative partnerships among local agencies and officials; crisis intervention teams; and train jail staff in dealing with mentally ill inmates
 - DCJS will report on the implementation and effectiveness of the pilot programs to the Governor, the Secretaries of Health and Human Resources and Public Safety and Homeland Security, and the Chairmen of the House Appropriations and Senate Finance committees in October 2017 and October 2018

Jail Mental Health Pilot Project Grant Awards for FY17 and FY18	
Facility	Awarded Amount
Chesterfield County	\$416,281
Hampton Roads Regional Jail	\$939,435
Middle River Regional Jail	\$536,384
Prince William-Manassas Jail	\$410,898
Richmond City Jail	\$670,813
Western Virginia Regional Jail	\$526,185

- DBHDS funds 12 jail diversion programs and 36 crisis intervention assessment sites statewide (see appendix for list of sites)
- The jail diversion programs are a cooperative effort between local law enforcement officials and CSBs to insure that people with behavioral health issues are diverted to the most appropriate setting for treatment and services. Each program is locally designed.
- The assessment sites provide local law enforcement officials with an option to transport
 people in crisis to an evaluation and treatment setting so that the official can return to
 regular law enforcement duties. The sites serve as therapeutic, non-criminal justiceaffiliated alternatives to incarceration.

Data Collected

- Compensation Board data from their Mental Health Survey and Jail Cost Reports
- DBHDS Forensic Admissions
- Risk Management Data
- In Custody Death Data
- A 15 question landscape survey was developed by JCHC with the assistance of the Virginia Sheriffs' Association and the CSB Association. The survey was sent to all of the local jails and regional jails in the Commonwealth through the Virginia Sheriffs' Association and the Virginia Association of Regional Jails
 - The purpose of the survey was to create a foundation of information of the jails and their health services systems

JCHC Landscape Survey: Quantitative Findings

- 40 of the 66 local and regional jails responded to the survey
- 32 reported using a third party vendor to provide all or most of their health care services
 - —10 different vendors were reported
- 20 jails reported having electronic health records
- 32 jails indicated having a relationship with their CSB for mental health (MH) services
 - —20 of those reported using the CSB for both MH and Substance Use Disorder (SUD) services
- 19 jails reported using tele-health services
 - -8 of the jails with vendor contracts provide both tele-health and tele-psychiatric services
 - —11 use tele-services for psychiatric care only
- The average number of people passing through these 40 local and regional jails in a year is a little over 302,000 and the average daily census (ADC) is approximately 22,000 for them
- 29% of the ADC (6,309) have known behavioral health conditions and approximately 18% are in jail for minor offenses, with less than 1% waiting for a transfer to a state psychiatric facility
- Of the 40 jails reporting, 12 listed no accreditations

JCHC Landscape Survey: Qualitative Findings

- The Division of Risk Management (DRM) indicated that they provide liability insurance coverage to jails and prisons and that malpractice insurance for certain jails, and separate contracts with physicians, may be done in a variety of ways by DRM depending on the jail. DRM indicated that they don't collect health services contracts from the local/county jails or regional jails.
- The Virginia Department of Corrections inspects jails based on criteria established by the Board
 of Corrections. The inspections involve procedures and processes not the quality or actual
 provision of health care services. They do not provide any oversight of jail health services
 contracts.
- A variety of avenues exist for a person in custody who wishes to file an official complaint related to health care services. Official complaints can be sent to: the Department of Corrections; the Attorney General's Office; and/or the Division of Risk Management. The system among the various agencies appears to be uncoordinated.
- Throughout all of the visits and meetings an issue was raised concerning how much health information can be shared between various entities due to Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPPA).
- Knowledge of which jails have contracts for comprehensive health services and the accrediting organizations for each jail are currently unknown at the state level.
- While health and mental health data are routinely collected from the jails by the Compensation Board through annual Jail Mental Health Survey, the data is not audited for accuracy.

Basic Statistics from 2015 (most recent for all sources)

- State Prison Population
 - 29,285 (VADOC)
- City/County Jails and Regional Jails Average Daily Population
 - 29,601 (VA-Compensation Board)
 - 53% of the ADP are in 23 designated Regional Jails
 - 47% are in city and county jails
- 95 deaths in state prisons
 - 87 were classified as natural
- 54 deaths in jails
 - 23 were classified as natural
 - 12 were classified as suicide
- 5,086 annual admissions statewide to State Psychiatric Hospitals
 - 23.5% (1,195) were admitted from jails or prisons
 - 80% were admitted for "emergency treatment" or "incompetent to stand trial"
 - The average daily census for the State Psychiatric Hospital system is 1,305
- 43 classified medical complaints to Risk Management
 - 31 from the prisons
 - 12 from the jails

Issues Discussed During Meetings

- How should quality health care in jails and prisons be defined?
- The Chief Medical Examiner's office indicated that an independent Fatality Review Committee
 made up of health and behavioral health care experts, along with jail and prison experts, can
 provide valuable information to the jail and prison systems on how to define and address quality
 through a focused, confidential and independent review of medical records.
- Some of the services that can be used to define quality for behavioral health issues are currently being developed by VADOC, DBHDS and the Compensation Board, i.e. screening and discharge planning.
- For the behavioral health programs, are there resources and assistance available to all CSBs and jails to help insure that people with behavioral health issues are properly screened and routed into the most appropriate setting for care and treatment?
- U.S. Supreme Court ruling on quality in the correctional system (Estelle v. Gamble, 1976):
 - Jail and prison staff (including doctors) are under no federal duty to provide care as expected by a reasonable person who goes to their doctor out in the community and gets "reasonable" care. The duty prison and jail officials have is to NOT be deliberately indifferent to one's serious medical needs which the court deems cruel and unusual punishment, a violation of the 8th Amendment.
- Virginia Code concerning the purchase of medicine (§ 53.1-126)
 - The sheriff or jail superintendent shall purchase at prices as low as reasonably possible all foodstuffs and other provisions used in the feeding of jail prisoners and such clothing and medicine as may be necessary.

- Jails and the CSBs need to share data on a daily basis to determine a person in custody's history with both the behavioral health system and the jail system
 - There is a gap of information and understanding between the state, the jails and CSBs partly due to a lack of understanding of HIPPA law
 - HIPPA includes a "lawful custody exception" in 45CFR 164.512 that allows all jails and CSBs to exchange information
 - A legal opinion from the Attorney General providing clarification to all of the jails and CSBs in the Commonwealth may facilitate necessary data sharing
- Data collected by the Compensation Board concerning behavioral health should be audited periodically for accuracy and consistency in reporting
 - One jail indicated that the lack of consistency calls into question whether the information being collected is useful and the best reflection of a point in time
- The JCHC survey found 19 of 40 jails reported using a form of tele-health/tele-psychiatry
 - The Compensation Board data shows that 17 of 66 jails reported some level of medical consultations through video
 - 8 jails utilize video consultations less than 50% of the time
 - 6 used tele-psychiatry for CSB Prescreening for TDOs in 2016

- Health care service contracts and vendor names should be reported to the Virginia
 Department of Corrections as part of their compliance program
 - Contracts should be reviewed for consistency and to assure that they meet the Virginia Board of Corrections standards
 - Contracts also should include provisions for compliance review
- As mentioned previously, 20 of the surveyed jails reported using Electronic Health Records (EHR). The data collected using EHR should be reported to the Virginia Compensation Board to be used for overall health care management of the jail populations

- A Fatality Review program should be created within the Chief Medical Examiners Office for inmate deaths. The statutes creating the team may be modeled after the Adult Fatality Review Team, the Child Fatality Review Team and the Family Violence Fatality Review Team. The purpose of such a team would be to identify and report on system wide gaps in the jail and prison health care system.
 - The Inmate Fatality Review Team may be made up of medical, mental health and program experts selected or appointed from either professional associations or state agencies or both
 - The team would review selected inmate deaths upon completion of any law enforcement investigation or prosecution
 - The team also would gather any fatality reviews performed at the local level
 - A summary report should be made available annually to the public
 - Person identifiable material and information used in the OCME fatality review should be kept confidential and excluded from the Virginia Freedom of Information Act
 - Meetings of the review team should be closed to the public to protect confidentiality and allow for a complete and thorough review of each death
 - Estimated operational/ongoing cost to establish such a team: \$120,000 annually

- Consideration should be given to establishing within CSBs a community level Advocacy
 Program for Behavioral Health to review and provide assistance to people in jail who have known behavioral health issues
 - During meetings with the Chief Medical Examiner's Office it was noted that there is often a lack of an independent advocate for the mentally ill when they are in custody
 - A task force or work group may be established to define the program and the level of training such advocates may need to serve the interests of those in jail with behavioral health issues

Appendix I

Local/County Jails and Regional Jails Responding to JCHC Survey		
Alexandria City	Northern Neck Regional	
Blue Ridge Regional	Northwestern Regional	
Bristol City	Page County	
Central Virginia Regional	Pamunkey Regional	
Charlotte County	Patrick County	
Chesterfield County	Piedmont Regional	
Culpeper County	Pittsylvania County	
Danville City	Portsmouth City	
Fairfax County	Prince William / Manassas Regional	
Fauquier County	Rappahannock Regional County	
Gloucester County	RSW Front Royal	
Hampton Roads Regional	Richmond City	
Henry County	Riverside Regional	
Loudoun County	Roanoke City	
Meherrin River Regional	Southside Regional	
Middle Peninsula Regional	Southwest Virginia Regional	
Middle River Regional	Virginia Beach	
Newport News City	Virginia Peninsula Regional	
Norfolk City	Western Tidewater Regional	
Northampton County	Western Virginia Regional	

Appendix II

Health Care Service Providers listed in JCHC Survey	
Armor	
Comprehensive Health Care Services	
Corizon Health	
Correct Care Solutions	
Correctional Medical Care, Inc.	
Danville - Pittsylvania Community Services	
Mediko	
Naphcare, Inc.	
Psychology Associates And Creative Health Care	
Rappahannock Creative Health Care Medical And Psychiatric Services	

Appendix III

Various Accreditation/Certification Programs Listed by Jails from the JCHC Survey	
Acronym	Accreditation / Certification
ACA	American Correctional Association
PREA	Prison Rape Elimination Act
DOC	Virginia Department of Corrections
FBP	Federal Bureau of Prisons
USM	US Marshals
NCCHC	National Commission on Correctional Health Care
ICE	U.S. Department of Homeland Security Immigration and Customs Enforcement
VLEPSC	Virginia Law Enforcement Professional Standards Commission
CALEA	Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies
DOJ	Department of Justice

Appendix IV

Crisis Intervention Assessment & Jail Diversion Locations



